Subclass II. SIPHONANTHÆ.

Order II. CALYCONECTÆ, Haeckel, 1888. (Pls. XXVII.–XLII.)

Diphyidæ, Eschscholtz, 1829, 1, p. 122. Calycophoridæ, Leuckart, 1854, 8, p. 256.

Definition.—Siphonophoræ with one or more nectophores, without pneumatophore, and without palpons. Nectosome always without pneumatocyst, represented only by the nectophores. Siphosome either a single siphon (Monogastricæ) or a long tubular stem, bearing a series of equidistant siphons, separated by free internodes (Polygastricæ). Each siphon with a single tentacle bearing a series of tentilla or lateral branches; each tentillum with a cnidosac and a simple terminal filament.

The order Calyconectæ, hitherto usually called Calycophoridæ, comprises all Siphonophoræ without pneumatophore. No doubt this large group is very natural; it differs from all the other Siphonophoræ, not only in the complete absence of the important pneumatic apparatus, but also in a number of other peculiarities. The primary larva develops first a nectophore (in the others a pneumatophore). The siphons have always the same structure, and so also the single tentacle, which is attached to each siphon; it bears a naked cnidosac (without involucrum) of a constant structure. The long tubular stem of the polygastric Calyconectæ always bears a single row of ordinate cormidia, separated by naked free intervals. Each cormidium constantly bears a single siphon only, and a single tentacle. Palpons and palpacles never occur in the Calyconectæ.

History.—Eschscholtz, in his fundamental work, divided the order Siphonophoræ into three natural families; the first of these, Diphyidæ, differs from the two other (Physophoridæ and Velellidæ) in the complete absence of any float filled with air. He distinguished six different genera; three of these (Eudoxia, Ersæa, Aglaisma) are monogastric ("with a single suctorial tube"); the three others (Abyla, Cymba, Diphyes) are polygastric ("with a long digestive tube bearing numerous suctorial tubes").

The oldest known of these six genera was Diphyes, founded by Cuvier in 1817, upon an apparently double animal, or twin-zoophyte, of which Bory had given the first figure in 1804 under the name Biphora bipartita (13) (=Diphyes dispar, Chamisso).

Lesson adopted in 1843 (3, p. 424) the system of Eschscholtz, and divided the family Diphyidæ also into Monogastricæ and Polygastricæ. But he distinguished a greater number of genera and subgenera (partly constituted already in 1827 to 1833 by Quoy and Gaimard, 2 and 20). Lesson collected all the scattered descriptions of Diphyidæ

² Règne animal, édit. i., tome iv. p. 61.