

with very close and numerous lines of growth. *Colour* yellowish translucent white, with a dull all-pervading nacreous gleam. The strong cord which fills the umbilicus is white, as is also the apex. *Spire* high, scalar, the separate whorls being a good deal sunk into one another, as well as flattened below the suture. *Apex* small, rounded, the minute embryonic  $1\frac{1}{4}$  whorl barely projecting. *Whorls* 6, of regular increase, slightly flattened below the suture, rounded on the contour, barely contracted round their base; the last is faintly angulated at the periphery, and not much rounded on the base. *Suture* strongly marked by the contraction of the whorl above and the margination below. *Mouth* oblique, round. *Outer lip* sharp but strong, porcellanous on the edge, brilliantly nacreous within; it descends very slightly. *Pillar-lip* thick, white, bent nearly to the point of the pillar over the umbilicus. It would be reverted but for the great thickness of the spiral pad, which comes twining up behind it out of the umbilicus, and out of which, at the point of the pillar, it forms a flat, triangular, tooth-like expansion. *Umbilicus* a minute spiral hole, which twists in between the overlying pillar-lip and the umbilical pad; the edge is corrugated with the old lines of the lip. H. 0.33 in. B. 0.4, least 0.3. Penultimate whorl, 0.1. Mouth, height 0.2, breadth 0.17.

This species somewhat resembles in form *Trochus tumidus*, Mont.; but, apart from differences of texture, colour, and sculpture, it is much less angulated and less broad on the base than that, and the upper whorls are more tumid and more immersed. *Trochus (Margarita) grænlandicus*, Chemn., it also resembles in form and size; but apart from all differences of colour and sculpture, it is, than that, less conical, more scalar, the suture is much more impressed, and the whorls are more immersed. From *Trochus (Margarita) rhina*, Wats., it differs in the whorls being much more tumid and the general form less conical. From *Trochus (Margarita) pompholugotus*, Wats., it differs in the last whorl being far less tumid and out of proportion to those which precede. In contrast with *Trochus (Margarita) dnopherus*, Wats., the pad on the pillar-lip is here rather on the outside, with the lip flattened out upon it, while in that species the thickening is on the inside, filling up the lip. There is a general resemblance to *Trochus marginulatus*, Phil., but the whole sculpture is quite different; especially on the base that species has a sharp umbilical carina, and a wide funnel-shaped though shallow umbilicus. Taken in general, it most of all resembles *Trochus (Margarita) varicosus*, Migh. (= *Trochus polaris*, Daniels). Compared to that this species is stronger in the shell, and much more distinctly sculptured. That other is higher in the spire, narrower, with a higher and more tumid body whorl; the whole sculpture, though quite of the same type, is feebler; the base is more flatly conical, more radiatingly striate with a large funnel-shaped umbilicus which has a double cord round its edge; the embryonic apex is much larger and coarser, and is altogether more prominent, and consists of nearly one whorl and three-quarters, and the whole shell is in every way larger, with  $5\frac{3}{4}$  whorls against 6 here.