have both two-jointed. The general characters are those of *Temora*; rostrum and caudal stylets as figured by Claus.

Centropages, Kröyer.

Centropages, Kröyer, Nat. Tidskr., 1849.

,, Boeck, Oversigt Norges Copepoder, 1864. ,, Brady, Monog. Brit. Copepoda, 1878. Catopia, Dana, Proc. Amer. Acad. Sci., 1849.

Calanopia, Dana (in part), Crust. U. S. Expl. Exped., 1852.

Ichthyophorba, Lilljeborg, De Crustaceis ex. ord. trib., 1853.

, Claus, Die frei lebenden Copepoden, 1863.

Body elongated; head distinct from the thorax, produced into a cloven rostrum, and more or less distinctly divided by a transverse groove into two segments. Anterior antennæ twenty-four-jointed, that of the right side in the male geniculated and prehensile. Mandibles, maxillæ, and foot-jaws similar to those of *Calanus*, except that the setæ of the anterior foot-jaws are beset with strong marginal hairs as in *Pontella*. The five pairs of swimming feet have both branches three-jointed, except the outer branch of the left fifth foot in the male, which is only two-jointed, while on the right side the outer branch, though three-jointed, forms a doubly-clawed prehensile organ. Eye median and mobile, of moderate size.

From *Pontella* this genus is separated by the single eye, by the Calanoid form of the posterior foot-jaw, the three-jointed inner branches of the swimming feet, and by the peculiar structure of the fifth pair of feet in both sexes. From *Diaptomus*, *Temora*, and other nearly allied genera, the characters of the swimming feet, especially of the fifth pair, form a sufficient distinction, while from *Candace* it is further separated by the structure of the mouth-organs, more particularly of the mandibles and maxillæ.

The names Catopia and Calanopia were proposed by Dana, the one as a genus, the other as a sub-genus, to include certain species closely allied to Pontella. The characters relied upon do not, however, appear to be very important, or very serviceable as landmarks of classification, the two species assigned by Prof. Dana to Calanopia (Calanopia elliptica and Calanopia brachiata), belonging, in my view, to two distinct genera. The name Centropages, used by Kröyer in 1849, has precedence, of course, over the more lately published Calanopia and Ichthyophorba, while Catopia, though dating from the same year as Centropages, has not obtained the same currency, and, moreover, has scarcely been defined with sufficient precision.

Of this genus three European species have been described. Centropages typicus, Kröyer, Centropages hamatus, Lilljeborg, and Centropages violaceus, Claus; the last of which is by far the commonest representative of the group in the Challenger collection, occurring in at least ten of the surface-net gatherings. But though this one form is very